



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,666	02/15/2002	Tsuneji Suzuki	054160-5060	7720

9629 7590 06/25/2003

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

PULLIAM, AMY E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1615

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/049,666

Applicant(s)

SUZUKI ET AL.

Examiner

Amy E Pulliam

Art Unit

1615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 18-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 18-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3,6
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of Papers

Receipt is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement, the Preliminary Amendment A, and the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, received by the Office, February 15, 2002, February 15, 2002, and May 15, 2002, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18, 19, 21, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 847 992 to Suzuki *et al.*

Suzuki *et al.* teach novel benzamide derivatives. Suzuki *et al.* teach the benzamide derivative claimed by Applicant (see claim 14). Additionally, Suzuki *et al.* teach that the active ingredient may be used in general pharmaceutical compositions, and may be prepared with generally used diluents or excipients, such as binders, extenders, fillers, moisturizers, disintegrants, surfactants, and lubricants. Suzuki *et al.* also teach that the pharmaceutical dosage form can be a tablet, pill, powder, solution, suspension, emulsion, granules, capsule, injection or suppository (page 46, lines 5-15). More specifically, Suzuki *et al.* teach the use of starch, methyl celluloses, calcium carmellose, lactose, sugars, stearates, talc polyethylene glycol, and many

Art Unit: 1615

other well known excipients. These teachings anticipate the limitations of Applicant above listed claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20, 22, 24, and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki *et al.*, in view of the International Cosmetic Ingredient Dictionary and Handbook.

Suzuki *et al.* are described above as teaching pharmaceutical compositions comprising benzamide derivatives. Suzuki *et al.* teach the inclusion of many well known pharmaceutical excipients.

Suzuki *et al.* does not teach the inclusion of each of the specific excipients claimed by Applicant. Suzuki *et al.* does not teach the inclusion of mannitol as an excipient, hydroxypropyl cellulose as a binder, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose as a coating agent, or the inclusion of an organic acid salt, an amino compound or an inorganic basic substance. The International Cosmetic Ingredient Dictionary and Handbook is relied upon for the teachings that mannitol and hydroxypropyl cellulose as well known binders, as well as the teaching that hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose is a well known film former. Lastly, the Dictionary and Handbook is relied upon for the teaching that inorganic compounds such as sodium bicarbonate, disodium phosphate, potassium bicarbonate and ammonia, as well as amino compounds such as

Art Unit: 1615

triethanolamine, diethanolamine, diisopropanolamine, and triisopropanolamine, as well as organic acid salts such as sodium fumarate, and trisodium phosphate are all well known pH adjusters. Each of these types of excipients (binders, film formers and pH adjusters) are well known excipients used in the making of pharmaceutical formulations. Therefore, their inclusion in a pharmaceutical composition which allows for necessary excipients is not found to be patentable. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is obvious absent a clear showing of unexpected results attributable to the Applicant's specific selection. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to include the well known excipients discussed above in the compositions described by Suzuki *et al.*. The motivation to do so lies in the teaching of Suzuki *et al.* that well known excipients can be included in their formulation. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is 703-308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00, Alternate Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,666

Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

A. Pulliam
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1615
June 23, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600